SoCG'22 PC Chairs Report

Xavier Goaoc and Michael Kerber

Henry Adams

SangWon Bae

Édouard Bonnet

Timothy Chan

Hsien-Chih Chang

Hu Ding

Vida Dujmović

Herbert Edelsbrunner

Radoslav Fulek

Xavier Goaoc

Stefan Huber

Michael Kerber

Marc van Kreveld

Claudia Landi

Sepideh Mahabadi

Yakov Nekrich

Aleksandar Nikolov

Gabriel Nivasch

Natan Rubin

Christiane Schmidt

Haitao Wang


Emo Welzl

Carola Wenk

Andreas Wiese

Chee Yap


- \triangleright 64 accepted (acc/sub = 0.37)



abstract submission

- ▶ 174 papers submitted
- \triangleright 64 accepted (acc/sub = 0.37)


$$\triangleright 153 \times 3 + 21 \times 4$$
 reviews



abstract submission


- ▶ 174 papers submitted
- \triangleright 64 accepted (acc/sub = 0.37)








abstract submission

	# submissions	# accepted
Mathematical Foundations	99	45
Algorithmic Complexity	95	30
Experiments and Implementation	24	5
Applications	11	3









- > Three phases in the discussion
 - → quick consensus, championing, final vote.

▷ Three phases in the discussion

→ quick consensus, championing, final vote.

- ▷ Three phases in the discussion
 - → quick consensus, championing, final vote.

- > Two submissions with similar results
 - → merged talk and separate proceedings.

▷ Three phases in the discussion→ quick consensus, championing, final vote.

- ▷ Two submissions with similar results→ merged talk and separate proceedings.
- A handful of submission over the 500 lines limit
 → no desk-reject, but treated as a flaw

▷ Three phases in the discussion→ quick consensus, championing, final vote.

- ▷ Two submissions with similar results→ merged talk and separate proceedings.
- A handful of submission over the 500 lines limit
 → no desk-reject, but treated as a flaw... this year.

	Week code of conduct. SoCG is dedicated to providing an environment that is free from harassment ying, discrimination, and retaliation for all participants. See
http	s://computational-geometry.org/codeofconduct.html
suf	n author has a conflict of such nature with a PC member or a potential reviewer, and the author has ficient grounds to believe that the review would be negatively biased, then the author is asked to tact a PC chair or one of the points of contact of the CG community for the code of conduct listed on above webpage. Any supporting information will be treated confidentially.
	Please ignore this checkbox (it is required by Easychair for technical reasons and it has no effect)

CG Week code of conduct. SoCG is dedicated to providing an environment that is free from harassment, bullying, discrimination, and retaliation for all participants. See https://computational-geometry.org/codeofconduct.html If an author has a conflict of such nature with a PC member or a potential reviewer, and the author has sufficient grounds to believe that the review would be negatively biased, then the author is asked to contact a PC chair or one of the points of contact of the CG community for the code of conduct listed on the above webpage. Any supporting information will be treated confidentially. Please ignore this checkbox (it is required by Easychair for technical reasons and it has no effect) 20 submissions checked the box 10 were accepted...

So many people to thank!

- be the **authors** of all submitted papers,
- \triangleright the 23 (+2) members of the SoCG **program committee**,
- b the 309 external reviewers,
- by the **local organizers**, especially Wolfgang Mulzer,
- be the proceedings chair **Irina Kostitsyna**,
- be the invited speakers **Heather Harrington** and **Hannah Bast**,
- b the CG steering committee,
- > all who contributed to the **CG Week** and its **events**.